arXiv:math/0205113v1 [math.AP] 10 May 2002

Singularly Perturbed Vector and Scalar Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with Persistent Homoclinic Orbits

Yanguang (Charles) Li [*]

Department of Mathematics

University of Missouri - Columbia

Columbia, MO 65211

E-mail: cli@math.missouri.edu

February 1, 2008

---

[*] This work is partially supported by a Guggenheim Fellowship.

Abstract

Singularly perturbed vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations (PVNLS) are investigated. Emphasis is placed upon the relation with their restriction: The singularly perturbed scalar nonlinear Schrödinger equation (PNLS) studied in [10]. It turns out that the persistent homoclinic orbit for the PNLS [10] is the only one for the PVNLS, asymptotic to the same saddle.

Contents

1 Introduction **4**

2 Dynamics in the 4D Invariant Subspace of Functions Independent of x **6**
2.1 Unperturbed Dynamics 6
2.2 Perturbed Dynamics 6
2.2.1 Leading Order Dynamics 9
2.2.2 Full Dynamics 10

3 Global Integrable Theory **13**
3.1 Linearized Equations 13
3.2 Homoclinic Orbits and Figure Eight Structures 14
3.3 A Melnikov Vector 16

4 Persistent Homoclinic Orbits **17**
4.1 The Invariant Diagonal Subspace 17
4.2 The Main Theorem 17
4.3 An Alternative Melnikov Function 18

5 Conclusion and Discussion **19**

1 Introduction

In recent years, novel results have been obtained on the solutions of the vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations [3] [4] [17]. Abundant ordinary integrable results have been carried through [16] [6], including linear stability calculations [5]. Specifically, the vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} ip_t + p_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}(|p|^2 + \chi|q|^2)p = 0, \\ iq_t + q_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}(\chi|p|^2 + |q|^2)q = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where p and q are complex valued functions of the two real variables t and x, and χ is a positive constant. These equations describe the evolution of two orthogonal pulse envelopes in birefringent optical fibers [14] [15], with industrial applications in fiber communication systems [7] and all-optical switching devices [8]. For linearly birefringent fibers [14], $\chi = 2/3$. For elliptically birefringent fibers, χ can take other positive values [15]. When $\chi = 1$, these equations are first shown to be integrable by S. Manakov [13], and thus called Manakov equations. When χ is not 1 or 0, these equations are non-integrable. Propelled by the industrial applications, extensive mathematical studies on the vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations have been carried. This article is another piece of mathematical works. Like the scalar nonlinear Schrödinger equation, the vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations also possess figure eight structures in their phase space. Figure eight structures are also called separatrices. For two-dimensional Hamiltonian systems, figure eight structures are given by the singular level sets of the Hamiltonian. Our goal is to understand the consequence of such figure eight structures when these equations are under perturbations, in particular, whether or not the consequence is chaotic dynamics. Specifically, we will investigate the type of chaos created through homoclinic orbits. (cf: the section "Conclusion and Discussion".)

This article will deal with the problem on the existence of homoclinic orbits for the singularly perturbed vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations,

$$ip_t + p_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}(|p|^2 + |q|^2)p = i\varepsilon[p_{xx} - \alpha p - \beta e^{i\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 t}] \ , \tag{1.1}$$

$$iq_t + q_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}(|p|^2 + |q|^2)q = i\varepsilon[q_{xx} - \alpha q - \beta e^{i\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 t}] \ , \tag{1.2}$$

where $p(t, x)$ and $q(t, x)$ are subject to periodic boundary condition of period 2π, and are even in x, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} p(t, x + 2\pi) = p(t, x) \ , \quad p(t, -x) = p(t, x) \ , \\ q(t, x + 2\pi) = q(t, x) \ , \quad q(t, -x) = q(t, x) \ , \end{aligned}$$

$\omega \in (1, 2)$, $\alpha > 0$ and β are real constants, and $\varepsilon > 0$ is the perturbation parameter.

By the transformation,

$$p = \tilde{p}e^{i\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 t} \ , \quad q = \tilde{q}e^{i\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 t} \ ,$$

and dropping $\tilde{}$, one gets an autonomous system,

$$ip_t + p_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}[(|p|^2 + |q|^2) - \omega^2]p = i\varepsilon[p_{xx} - \alpha p - \beta] \ , \tag{1.3}$$

$$iq_t + q_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}[(|p|^2 + |q|^2) - \omega^2]q = i\varepsilon[q_{xx} - \alpha q - \beta] \ , \tag{1.4}$$

The rest of this article will be dealing with this form of perturbed vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations (PVNLS).

The corresponding work for regularly perturbed scalar nonlinear Schrödinger equation has been accomplished in [11], where the singular perturbation $\varepsilon\partial_x^2$ is replaced by a regular perturbation $\varepsilon\hat{\partial}_x^2$ which is a bounded Fourier multiplier obtained from a truncation of $\varepsilon\partial_x^2$. The main difficulty introduced by the singular perturbation $\varepsilon\partial_x^2$ is that it breaks the spectral gap condition of the unperturbed system. Thus the standard invariant manifold results do not hold. Nevertheless, certain invariant manifold results can be established, which are sufficient for establishing the existence of homoclinic orbits. The corresponding work for such singularly perturbed scalar nonlinear Schrödinger equation (PNLS) has been accomplished in [10]. The crucial new features of this article are listed below:

1. Homoclinic orbits asymptotic to the spatially uniform and temporally periodic solutions up to phase shifts, are constructed for the integrable vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations ((1.1, 1.2) at $\varepsilon = 0$). In particular, such homoclinic orbits are built with spatially non-periodic and non-anti-periodic Bloch eigenfunctions. This study provides an elegant formula which is missing in [16] [6] [5].

2. For the scalar nonlinear Schrödinger equation, a complete sequence of Melnikov vectors can be constructed out of the Floquet discriminant for the Lax pair [12]. Unlike scalar nonlinear Schrödinger equation, the Lax pair for the integrable vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations, does not have a complete isospectral theory built upon a Floquet discriminant. By restricting ω in the interval $(1, 2)$, we are able to deal with one unstable mode problem for which only one Melnikov function is needed. The Melnikov function will be built out of the Hamiltonian and the invariant L^2 norms.

3. In the 4D invariant subspace of functions independent of x, the dynamics is quite different from that of perturbed scalar nonlinear Schrödinger equation [11]. The eigenvalues of the saddle are: a positive one of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, a negative one of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, and a complex conjugate pair for which the real part is negative, and both real and imaginary parts are of order ε. The two eigenvalues of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, correspond to a fish-shape dynamics, and the other two eigenvalues correspond to a stable spiraling dynamics.

4. The dynamics in the invariant diagonal subspace given by $p = q$, is governed by the PNLS. The unstable manifold of the saddle resides in the invariant subspace. This leads to that the persistent homoclinic orbit for the PNLS is the only one for the PVNLS, asymptotic to the saddle.

2 Dynamics in the 4D Invariant Subspace of Functions Independent of x

The 4D subspace

$$\Pi = \{(p, q) \mid \partial_x p = \partial_x q = 0\} \ , \tag{2.1}$$

is invariant under the PVNLS flow (1.3,1.4). Dynamics in Π is governed by

$$ip_t + \frac{1}{2}[(|p|^2 + |q|^2) - \omega^2]p = i\varepsilon[-\alpha p - \beta] \ , \tag{2.2}$$

$$iq_t + \frac{1}{2}[(|p|^2 + |q|^2) - \omega^2]q = i\varepsilon[-\alpha q - \beta] \ , \tag{2.3}$$

2.1 Unperturbed Dynamics

When $\varepsilon = 0$, the unperturbed dynamics is governed by

$$ip_t + \frac{1}{2}[(|p|^2 + |q|^2) - \omega^2]p = 0 \ , \tag{2.4}$$

$$iq_t + \frac{1}{2}[(|p|^2 + |q|^2) - \omega^2]q = 0 \ , \tag{2.5}$$

Introducing the polar coordinates

$$p = \sqrt{I_1}e^{i\theta_1} \ , \quad q = \sqrt{I_2}e^{i\theta_2} \ , \tag{2.6}$$

one gets the expression for the general solution,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \text{ constant } , \quad I_2 = \text{ constant } , \\ \theta_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(I_1 + I_2 - \omega^2)t + \gamma_1 \ , \\ \theta_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(I_1 + I_2 - \omega^2)t + \gamma_2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Equilibria consist of the origin $p = q = 0$ and the submanifold

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(I_1, I_2, \theta_1, \theta_2) \mid I_1 + I_2 = \omega^2\} \ . \tag{2.7}$$

For an illustration of $\mathcal{E}$, see Figure 2.1.

2.2 Perturbed Dynamics

In terms of the polar coordinates (2.6), Equations (2.2,2.3) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{I}_1 = \varepsilon[-2\alpha I_1 - 2\beta\sqrt{I_1}\cos\theta_1] \ , \tag{2.8}$$

$$\dot{\theta}_1 = \frac{1}{2}[I_1 + I_2 - \omega^2] + \varepsilon\beta\frac{\sin\theta_1}{\sqrt{I_1}} \ , \tag{2.9}$$

$$\dot{I}_2 = \varepsilon[-2\alpha I_2 - 2\beta\sqrt{I_2}\cos\theta_2] \ , \tag{2.10}$$

$$\dot{\theta}_2 = \frac{1}{2}[I_1 + I_2 - \omega^2] + \varepsilon\beta\frac{\sin\theta_2}{\sqrt{I_2}} \ . \tag{2.11}$$

Figure 2.1: An illustration of the equilibrium manifold $\mathcal{E}$.

Lemma 2.1 *All the fixed points of these equations (2.8-2.11) satisfy the conditions*

$$I_1 = I_2 \ , \quad \theta_1 = \theta_2 \ .$$

Proof: Setting the right hand side of (2.8-2.11), one can deduce that for fixed points,

$$\tan\theta_1 = \tan\theta_2 \ , \quad \cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2 > 0 \ , \quad \sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2 > 0 \ .$$

Thus $\theta_1 = \theta_2$, which implies $I_1 = I_2$. $\square$

As in the case of perturbed scalar nonlinear Schrödinger equation [11], there are three fixed points,

1. The focus O_ε in the neighborhood of origin,

$$I_1 = \varepsilon^2 4\beta^2\omega^{-4} + \cdots \ , \quad \cos\theta_1 = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\sqrt{I_1} \ , \quad \beta\sin\theta_1 > 0 \ . \tag{2.12}$$

   The eigenvalues are

$$\mu_{1,2} = -\varepsilon\alpha \pm i\sqrt{\left(\frac{\varepsilon\beta\sin\theta_1}{\sqrt{I_1}}\right)^2 - 2\varepsilon\beta\sqrt{I_1}\sin\theta_1} \ , \tag{2.13}$$

$$\mu_{3,4} = -\varepsilon\alpha \pm i\varepsilon\frac{\beta\sin\theta_1}{\sqrt{I_1}} \ , \tag{2.14}$$

   where I_1 and θ_1 are given in (2.12).

2. The focus P_ε in the neighborhood of $I_1 = I_2 = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2$,

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + \varepsilon\omega^{-1}\sqrt{2\beta^2 - \alpha^2\omega^2} + \cdots \ , \quad \cos\theta_1 = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\sqrt{I_1} \ , \quad \beta\sin\theta_1 < 0 \ . \tag{2.15}$$

   The eigenvalues are

$$\mu_{1,2} = -\varepsilon\alpha \pm i\sqrt{\varepsilon}\sqrt{-2\beta\sqrt{I_1}\sin\theta_1 + \varepsilon\left(\frac{\beta\sin\theta_1}{\sqrt{I_1}}\right)^2} \tag{2.16}$$

$$\mu_{3,4} = -\varepsilon\alpha \pm i\varepsilon\frac{\beta\sin\theta_1}{\sqrt{I_1}} \ , \tag{2.17}$$

   where I_1 and θ_1 are given in (2.15).

3. The saddle Q_ε in the neighborhood of $I_1 = I_2 = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2$,

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 - \varepsilon\omega^{-1}\sqrt{2\beta^2 - \alpha^2\omega^2} + \cdots \ , \quad \cos\theta_1 = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\sqrt{I_1} \ , \quad \beta\sin\theta_1 > 0 \ . \tag{2.18}$$

The eigenvalues are

$$\mu_{1,2} = -\varepsilon\alpha \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sqrt{2\beta\sqrt{I_1}\sin\theta_1 - \varepsilon\left(\frac{\beta\sin\theta_1}{\sqrt{I_1}}\right)^2} \tag{2.19}$$

$$\mu_{3,4} = -\varepsilon\alpha \pm i\varepsilon\frac{\beta\sin\theta_1}{\sqrt{I_1}} \ , \tag{2.20}$$

where I_1 and θ_1 are given in (2.18).

The pair of homoclinic orbits to be located, are asymptotic to the saddle Q_ε. Inside the subspace Π, attention will be focused upon the neighborhood of $I_1 = I_2 = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2$. Then naturally one wants to introduce coordinates (J_1, J_2) in this neighborhood,

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + J_1 \ , \quad I_2 = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + J_2 \ , \tag{2.21}$$

and Equations (2.8-2.11) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{J}_1 = \varepsilon\left[-\alpha\omega^2 - 2\alpha J_1 - 2\beta\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + J_1}\cos\theta_1\right] \ , \tag{2.22}$$

$$\dot{\theta}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(J_1 + J_2) + \varepsilon\beta\frac{\sin\theta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + J_1}} \ , \tag{2.23}$$

$$\dot{J}_2 = \varepsilon\left[-\alpha\omega^2 - 2\alpha J_2 - 2\beta\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + J_2}\cos\theta_2\right] \ , \tag{2.24}$$

$$\dot{\theta}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(J_1 + J_2) + \varepsilon\beta\frac{\sin\theta_2}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + J_2}} \ . \tag{2.25}$$

Rescaling the variables as follows, one can reveal the dynamics at different scales better. Let

$$J_1 = \sqrt{\varepsilon}j_1 \ , \quad J_2 = \sqrt{\varepsilon}j_2 \ , \quad \tau = \sqrt{\varepsilon}t \ , \tag{2.26}$$

and Equations (2.22-2.25) can be rewritten as

$$j_1' = -\alpha\omega^2 - \sqrt{2}\beta\omega\cos\theta_1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}2\alpha j_1 - 2\beta\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}j_1} - \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\cos\theta_1 \ , \tag{2.27}$$

$$\theta_1' = \frac{1}{2}(j_1 + j_2) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\beta\frac{\sin\theta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}j_1}} \ , \tag{2.28}$$

$$j_2' = -\alpha\omega^2 - \sqrt{2}\beta\omega\cos\theta_2 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}2\alpha j_2 - 2\beta\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}j_2} - \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\cos\theta_2 \ , \tag{2.29}$$

$$\theta_2' = \frac{1}{2}(j_1 + j_2) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\beta\frac{\sin\theta_2}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}j_2}} \ , \tag{2.30}$$

where $' = \frac{d}{d\tau}$.

2.2.1 Leading Order Dynamics

Only keeping the leading order terms on the right hand side of (2.27-2.30), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} j_1' &= -\alpha\omega^2 - \sqrt{2}\beta\omega\cos\theta_1 \ , & (2.31)\\ \theta_1' &= \frac{1}{2}(j_1+j_2) \ , & (2.32)\\ j_2' &= -\alpha\omega^2 - \sqrt{2}\beta\omega\cos\theta_2 \ , & (2.33)\\ \theta_2' &= \frac{1}{2}(j_1+j_2) \ . & (2.34)\end{aligned}$$

This system is an integrable Hamiltonian system with the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{4}(j_1+j_2)^2 + \alpha\omega^2(\theta_1+\theta_2) + \sqrt{2}\beta\omega(\sin\theta_1+\sin\theta_2) \ , \tag{2.35}$$

and another functionally independent constant of motion,

$$K = \theta_1 - \theta_2 \ . \tag{2.36}$$

The Hamiltonian form of (2.31-2.34) is

$$j_1' = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\theta_1} \ , \quad \theta_1' = \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial j_1} \ , \quad j_2' = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\theta_2} \ , \quad \theta_2' = \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial j_2} \ .$$

To this order, four lines in the equilibrium manifold $\mathcal{E}$ (2.7) persist. These four lines of fixed points of (2.31-2.34) are

$$j_1 = -j_2 \ , \quad \theta_1 = \pm\arccos\left[-\frac{\alpha\omega}{\sqrt{2}\beta}\right] \ , \quad \theta_2 = \pm\arccos\left[-\frac{\alpha\omega}{\sqrt{2}\beta}\right] \ . \tag{2.37}$$

Two lines of fixed points for which $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ will disappear under the full perturbed flow (2.8-2.11). One point on each of the other two lines will persist and becomes P_ε (2.15) or Q_ε (2.18). The eigenvalues of the four lines of fixed points are

$$\mu_{1,2} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\beta\omega(\sin\theta_1+\sin\theta_2)} \ , \quad \mu_{3,4} = 0 \ . \tag{2.38}$$

Denote by l_u the line in (2.37), for which $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ and $\beta\sin\theta_1 > 0$. For l_u, $\mu_{1,2}$ in (2.38) are real. Denote by l_c the line in (2.37), for which $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ and $\beta\sin\theta_1 < 0$. For l_c, $\mu_{1,2}$ in (2.38) are purely imaginary. Denote by l_0^1 and l_0^2 the rest two lines in (2.37), for which $\theta_1 = -\theta_2$. For l_0^1 and l_0^2, $\mu_{1,2}$ in (2.38) are zero. Denote by Q_0 the point on l_u such that $j_1 = -j_2 = 0$. Q_0 has the coordinates

$$j_1 = -j_2 = 0 \ , \quad \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \begin{cases} \pi - \arctan\left[\frac{\sqrt{2\beta^2-\alpha^2\omega^2}}{\alpha\omega}\right] \ , & \text{if } \beta > 0 \ , \\ -\arctan\left[\frac{\sqrt{2\beta^2-\alpha^2\omega^2}}{\alpha\omega}\right] \ , & \text{if } \beta < 0 \ . \end{cases} \tag{2.39}$$

Figure 2.2: The stable and unstable manifolds of l_u.

Figure 2.3: The center manifold of l_u.

Denote by P_0 the point on l_c such that $j_1 = -j_2 = 0$. P_0 has the coordinates

$$j_1 = -j_2 = 0 \ , \quad \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \begin{cases} -\pi + \arctan\left[\frac{\sqrt{2\beta^2 - \alpha^2\omega^2}}{\alpha\omega}\right] \ , & \text{if } \beta > 0 \ , \\ \arctan\left[\frac{\sqrt{2\beta^2 - \alpha^2\omega^2}}{\alpha\omega}\right] \ , & \text{if } \beta < 0 \ . \end{cases} \tag{2.40}$$

Next we study the dynamics of (2.31-2.34). Denote by Π_Δ the 3D subspace of Π (2.1),

$$\Pi_\Delta = \{(j_1, j_2, \theta_1, \theta_2) \mid K = \theta_1 - \theta_2 = \Delta\} \ . \tag{2.41}$$

Π_Δ is an invariant subspace under the flow (2.31-2.34). Restricted to Π_Δ, $\mathcal{H}$ (2.35) takes the form similar to that in [11],

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{4}j^2 + 2\alpha\omega^2\theta + 2\sqrt{2}\beta\omega\cos(\Delta/2)\sin\theta \ ,$$

where $j = j_1 + j_2$ and $\theta = \theta_1 - \Delta/2$. Denote by $W^s_\Pi(l_u)$, $W^u_\Pi(l_u)$, and $W^c_\Pi(l_u)$ the 2D stable, unstable, and center manifolds of l_u respectively. $W^s_\Pi(l_u) = W^u_\Pi(l_u)$ has the topology shown in Figure 2.2. $W^c_\Pi(l_u)$ has the topology shown in Figure 2.3. Similar to $W^c_\Pi(l_u)$, there is also an invariant 2D submanifold $W^c_\Pi(l_c)$ around l_c as shown in Figure 2.4. $W^s_\Pi(l_u) = W^u_\Pi(l_u)$ is given by the singular level set of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\Pi_{\Delta=0}$. In Π_Δ ($\Delta \neq 0$), similar picture holds as shown in Figure 2.5, but with drifting along the asymptotic line. Denote by $W^{cs}_\Pi(l_u)$ and $W^{cu}_\Pi(l_u)$ the 3D center-stable and center-unstable manifolds of l_u. $W^{cs}_\Pi(l_u) = W^{cu}_\Pi(l_u)$ has the topology shown in Figure 2.6.

2.2.2 Full Dynamics

Since $W^c_\Pi(l_u)$ is normally hyperbolic under the leading order flow (2.31-2.34), it persists under the full perturbed flow (2.27-2.30). Denote this persistent 2D locally invariant

Figure 2.4: The center manifold of l_c.

Figure 2.5: Stable and unstable manifolds in Π_Δ ($\Delta \neq 0$).

Figure 2.6: The center-stable and center-unstable manifolds of l_u.

Figure 2.7: Persistent center-stable and center-unstable manifolds.

center manifold by $W_{\Pi}^{(c,\varepsilon)}(l_u)$. Denote the persistent 3D locally invariant center-stable and center-unstable manifolds by $W_{\Pi}^{(cs,\varepsilon)}(l_u)$ and $W_{\Pi}^{(cu,\varepsilon)}(l_u)$. $W_{\Pi}^{(cs,\varepsilon)}(l_u)$ and $W_{\Pi}^{(cu,\varepsilon)}(l_u)$ have the topology shown in Figure 2.7. Notice that $W_{\Pi}^{(cs,\varepsilon)}(l_u)$ forms the stable manifold of the saddle Q_{ε} (2.18), which we also denote by $W_{\Pi}^{s}(Q_{\varepsilon})$. The unstable manifold of Q_{ε}, denoted by $W_{\Pi}^{u}(Q_{\varepsilon})$, is one dimensional.

3 Global Integrable Theory

Consider the integrable vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations ($\varepsilon = 0$ in (1.3,1.4)),

$$ip_t + p_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}[(|p|^2 + |q|^2) - \omega^2]p = 0 \ , \tag{3.1}$$

$$iq_t + q_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}[(|p|^2 + |q|^2) - \omega^2]q = 0 \ . \tag{3.2}$$

The Lax pair is given as [13],

$$\psi_x = U\psi \ , \quad \psi_t = V\psi \ , \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$U = \lambda A_0 + A_1 \ , \quad V = \lambda^2 A_0 + \lambda A_1 + A_2 \ ,$$

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{3}i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3}i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3}i \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}p & \frac{1}{2}q \\ -\frac{1}{2}\bar{p} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\bar{q} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ ,$$

$$A_2 = -\frac{i}{4}\begin{pmatrix} -(|p|^2 + |q|^2) + 4\omega^2 & -2p_x & -2q_x \\ -2\bar{p}_x & |p|^2 + 2\omega^2 & q\bar{p} \\ -2\bar{q}_x & \bar{q}p & |q|^2 + 2\omega^2 \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

3.1 Linearized Equations

We start with the solution of (2.4,2.5),

$$p = ae^{i\delta_1} \ , \quad q = be^{i\delta_2} \ , \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + b^2 - \omega^2)t + \gamma_1 \ , \quad \delta_2 = \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + b^2 - \omega^2)t + \gamma_2 \ .$$

Linearizing (3.1,3.2) at this solution, by setting

$$p = e^{i\delta_1}[a + \tilde{p}] \ , \quad q = e^{i\delta_2}[b + \tilde{q}] \ ,$$

one gets

$$i\tilde{p}_t + \tilde{p}_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}a[a(\tilde{p} + \bar{\tilde{p}}) + b(\tilde{q} + \bar{\tilde{q}})] = 0 \ ,$$

$$i\tilde{q}_t + \tilde{q}_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}b[a(\tilde{p} + \bar{\tilde{p}}) + b(\tilde{q} + \bar{\tilde{q}})] = 0 \ .$$

Setting

$$\tilde{p} = f_+ e^{ikx + \Omega t} + \overline{f_-} e^{-ikx + \bar{\Omega} t} \ , \quad \tilde{q} = g_+ e^{ikx + \Omega t} + \overline{g_-} e^{-ikx + \bar{\Omega} t} \ , \quad (k \in Z)$$

one gets the dispersion relations

$$\Omega_{1,2} = \pm k\sqrt{a^2 + b^2 - k^2} \ , \quad \Omega_{3,4} = \pm ik^2 \ . \tag{3.5}$$

Thus, if we choose $1 < \sqrt{a^2+b^2} < 2$, then there is only one unstable mode $\cos x$. This is the reason that we restricts ω as in (1.1,1.2). When $k = 1$,

$$\Omega_{1,2} = \pm\sigma \ , \quad \sigma = \sqrt{a^2+b^2-1} \ . \tag{3.6}$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions are

$$\tilde{p} = ae^{\pm i\varphi}e^{\pm\sigma t}\cos x \ , \quad \tilde{q} = be^{\pm i\varphi}e^{\pm\sigma t}\cos x \ , \quad \varphi = \arctan\sigma \ .$$

3.2 Homoclinic Orbits and Figure Eight Structures

The Bäcklund-Darboux transformation given in [16] [6] will be utilized to construct homoclinic orbits asymptotic to the periodic orbits (3.4) up to phase shifts.

Theorem 3.1 ([16] [6]) *Let p and q be a solution of the vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations (3.1,3.2), and let $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)^T$ be an eigenfunction of the Lax pair (3.3) at (λ, p, q). If one defines*

$$\hat{p} = p + 2i(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)\frac{\psi_1\overline{\psi_2}}{|\psi_1|^2+|\psi_2|^2+|\psi_3|^2} \ , \tag{3.7}$$

$$\hat{q} = q + 2i(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)\frac{\psi_1\overline{\psi_3}}{|\psi_1|^2+|\psi_2|^2+|\psi_3|^2} \ , \tag{3.8}$$

then $\hat{p}$ and $\hat{q}$ also solve the vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations (3.1,3.2).

We solve the Lax pair (3.3) at (λ, p, q) with p and q given by the periodic orbits (3.4), we get

$$\psi^{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^{\pm} \\ \psi_2^{\pm} \\ \psi_3^{\pm} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (i\lambda \mp i)e^{i(\delta_1+\delta_2)} \\ ae^{i\delta_2} \\ be^{i\delta_1} \end{pmatrix} e^{\pm\sigma_1 t + i\sigma_2 t}e^{\pm i\kappa^{\pm} x} \ , \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\kappa^{\pm} = -\frac{1}{6}\lambda \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{a^2+b^2+\lambda^2} \ , \quad \sqrt{a^2+b^2+\lambda^2} = 1 \ , \tag{3.10}$$

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{i\lambda}{2} \ , \quad \sigma_2 = -\frac{1}{12}[7(a^2+b^2)+2] \ . \tag{3.11}$$

Remark 3.1 *From (3.11), we see that in order to have temporal growth, the imaginary part of λ can not be zero. Also in order to have a nontrivial Bäcklund-Darboux transformation (Theorem 3.1), the imaginary part of λ can not be zero. From (3.10), if $a^2+b^2 > 1$, then λ is purely imaginary. If we also require $a^2+b^2 < 4$, i.e., in the one unstable mode regime (3.5), then $\sqrt{a^2+b^2+\lambda^2} \geq 2$ will lead to real λ and no temporal growth.*

Remark 3.2 *Notice that the imaginary part of $\kappa^{\pm}$ is not zero, thus $\psi^{\pm}$ are not periodic or antiperiodic functions in x. In fact, they grow or decay in x. This fact shows that complex double points are not necessary in constructing homoclinic orbits through Bäcklund-Darboux transformations (see also [9]).*

Let

$$\psi = c^+ \psi^+ + c^- \psi^- \ , \tag{3.12}$$

where c^+ and c^- are two arbitrary complex constants. We introduce the notations

$$c^+/c^- = e^{\rho + i\vartheta} \ , \quad \lambda = -i\sigma \ , \quad \sigma = \sqrt{a^2+b^2-1} \ , \tag{3.13}$$

$$\sigma + i = \sqrt{a^2+b^2} e^{i(\frac{\pi}{2} - \vartheta_0)} \ , \quad \vartheta_0 = \arctan \sigma \ , \quad \sigma_1 = \sigma/2 \ , \tag{3.14}$$

$$\tau = \frac{\sigma}{2} t + \frac{\rho}{2} \ , \quad y = \frac{1}{2} x + \frac{\vartheta}{2} \ , \tag{3.15}$$

where ρ and ϑ are called the Bäcklund parameters. If one chooses $\lambda = i\sigma$, one will get the same result. We can rewrite ψ in the following form,

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1 &= \left[\cosh \tau \cos(y - \frac{\pi}{2} + \vartheta_0) + i \sinh \tau \sin(y - \frac{\pi}{2} + \vartheta_0) \right] 2\sqrt{c^+c^-} \sqrt{a^2+b^2} e^{i(\delta_1+\delta_2)} e^{i\sigma_2 t} e^{-\frac{1}{6}\sigma x} \ , \\
\psi_2 &= \left[\cosh \tau \cos y + i \sinh \tau \sin y \right] 2\sqrt{c^+c^-} a e^{i\delta_2} e^{i\sigma_2 t} e^{-\frac{1}{6}\sigma x} \ , \\
\psi_3 &= \left[\cosh \tau \cos y + i \sinh \tau \sin y \right] 2\sqrt{c^+c^-} b e^{i\delta_1} e^{i\sigma_2 t} e^{-\frac{1}{6}\sigma x} \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where the factor $2\sqrt{c^+c^-} e^{i\sigma_2 t} e^{-\frac{1}{6}\sigma x}$ will be canceled away. By (3.7,3.8), one gets

$$\hat{p} = a e^{i\delta_1} h \ , \quad \hat{q} = b e^{i\delta_2} h \ , \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
h &= \left[\cos(2\vartheta_0) + i \sin(2\vartheta_0) \tanh(2\tau) - \sin \vartheta_0 \ \mathrm{sech}(2\tau) \cos(2y + \vartheta_0 - \frac{\pi}{2}) \right] \\
&\quad \left[1 + \sin \vartheta_0 \ \mathrm{sech}(2\tau) \cos(2y + \vartheta_0 - \frac{\pi}{2}) \right]^{-1} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where δ_1 and δ_2 are given in (3.4), other notations are given in (3.13-3.15). For the moment, the even-in-x condition has not been inforced. For fixed a and b, the phases γ_1 and γ_2 (3.4), and the Bäcklund parameters ρ and ϑ parametrize a four dimensional submanifold with a figure eight structure. If $a = b$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$, then the homoclinic orbit reduces to that for the scalar nonlinear Schrödinger equation [10].

As $t \to \pm\infty$,

$$h \to e^{\pm i 2\vartheta_0} \ . \tag{3.18}$$

The even-in-x condition can be achieved by restricting the Bäcklund parameter ϑ to special values. That is, if one requires that

$$\vartheta + \vartheta_0 - \frac{\pi}{2} = 0 \ , \ \pi \ , \tag{3.19}$$

then h is even in x,

$$\begin{aligned}
h &= \left[\cos(2\vartheta_0) + i \sin(2\vartheta_0) \tanh(2\tau) \mp \sin \vartheta_0 \ \mathrm{sech}(2\tau) \cos x \right] \\
&\quad \left[1 \pm \sin \vartheta_0 \ \mathrm{sech}(2\tau) \cos x \right]^{-1} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where the upper sign corresponds to $\vartheta + \vartheta_0 - \frac{\pi}{2} = 0$. For fixed a and b, the phases γ_1 and γ_2 (3.4), and the Bäcklund parameter ρ parametrize a three dimensional submanifold with a figure eight structure. If one further chooses $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ (3.4), then (3.4) represents a periodic orbit. In such case, the phase $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ and the Bäcklund parameter ρ parametrize a two dimensional submanifold with a figure eight structure.

3.3 A Melnikov Vector

The Hamiltonian for the integrable vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations (3.1,3.2) is given as,

$$H = \int_0^{2\pi} \{|p_x|^2 + |q_x|^2 - \frac{1}{4}[(|p|^2 + |q|^2)^2 - 2\omega^2(|p|^2 + |q|^2)]\} dx \ . \tag{3.21}$$

(3.1,3.2) can be rewritten in the Hamiltonian form,

$$ip_t = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \bar{p}} \ , \quad i\bar{p}_t = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta p} \ , \quad iq_t = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \bar{q}} \ , \quad i\bar{q}_t = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta q} \ .$$

The two L^2 norms

$$E_1 = \int_0^{2\pi} |p|^2 dx \ , \quad E_2 = \int_0^{2\pi} |q|^2 dx \ , \tag{3.22}$$

are also invariant functionals. We will build the Melnikov vector by the gradient of the invariant functional G obtained through a combination out of H, E_1, and E_2,

$$\begin{aligned} G &= H + \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{4\pi}(E_1 + E_2) - \omega^2\right](E_1 + E_2) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \{|p_x|^2 + |q_x|^2 - \frac{1}{4}(|p|^2 + |q|^2)^2\} dx + \frac{1}{8\pi}(E_1 + E_2)^2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Figure 4.1: The graph of $\alpha = \alpha(\omega)$.

4 Persistent Homoclinic Orbits

4.1 The Invariant Diagonal Subspace

The diagonal subspace

$$\Sigma = \{(p, q) \mid p = q\}$$

is invariant under the PVNLS flow (1.3)-(1.4). The dynamics in Σ is governed by the PNLS

$$iq_t + q_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}[2|q|^2 - \omega^2]q = i\varepsilon[q_{xx} - \alpha q - \beta] \ , \tag{4.1}$$

studied in [10].

4.2 The Main Theorem

Theorem 4.1 (Main Theorem) *There exists a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, there exists a codimension 1 surface in the space of $(\alpha, \beta, \omega) \in R^+ \times R^+ \times R^+$ where $\omega \in (1, 2)/S$, S is a finite subset, and $\alpha\omega < \sqrt{2}\beta$. For any (α, β, ω) on the codimension 1 surface, the singularly perturbed vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations (1.3)-(1.4) possesses a homoclinic orbit asymptotic to the saddle Q_ϵ (2.18). This orbit is also the homoclinic orbit for the singularly perturbed scalar nonlinear Schrödinger equation (4.1) studied in [10], and is the only one asymptotic to the saddle Q_ϵ (2.18) for the singularly perturbed vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations (1.3)-(1.4). The codimension 1 surface has the approximate representation $\alpha = \alpha(\omega)$ given in Figure 4.1, obtained in [10].*

Proof. Existence of a homoclinic orbit has been proved in [10]. Under the PVNLS flow (1.3)-(1.4), the saddle Q_ϵ (2.18) has a two dimensional unstable manifold $W^u(Q_\varepsilon)$ which is included in the invariant diagonal subspace Σ,

$$W^u(Q_\varepsilon) \subset \Sigma \ .$$

Thus any homoclinic orbit asymptotic to Q_ϵ has to be inside Σ. $\Box$

Remark 4.1 *Following the same arguments as in [10], Unstable Fiber Theorem and Center-Stable Manifold Theorem can be easily established for the PVNLS (1.3)-(1.4).*

4.3 An Alternative Melnikov Function

In [10], the Melnikov function was built with the Floquet discriminant. Here we give an alternative Melnikov function built with the invariant functional G:

$$M = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dG}{dt} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{\delta G}{\delta p} p_t + \frac{\delta G}{\delta \bar{p}} \bar{p}_t + \frac{\delta G}{\delta q} q_t + \frac{\delta G}{\delta \bar{q}} \bar{q}_t \right] dxdt \ ,$$

where the integral is evaluated along the homoclinic orbit (3.16,3.20) at $a = b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\omega$ and $\delta_1 = \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \delta_2$, ± in (3.20) leads to the same result.

$$M = \varepsilon \left(\frac{\sigma}{2} \right)^{-1} \left[M_1 - \alpha M_2 - \beta 2\sqrt{2}\omega \cos\gamma_1 M_3 \right] \ , \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$M_1 = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\omega^2 (1 - |h|^2)(\bar{h} h_{xx} + h \bar{h}_{xx}) - 4|h_{xx}|^2 \right] dxd\tau \ ,$$

$$M_2 = \omega^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\omega^2 |h|^2 (1 - |h|^2) - (\bar{h} h_{xx} + h \bar{h}_{xx}) \right] dxd\tau \ ,$$

$$M_3 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 h^{(r)} (1 - |h|^2) - h_{xx}^{(r)} \right] dxd\tau \ ,$$

where $h^{(r)}$ is the real part of h (3.20).

In [10], we have showed that $M = 0$ together with

$$\mathcal{H}(0, 0, \gamma_1 + 2\vartheta_0) - \mathcal{H}(0, 0, \gamma_1 - 2\vartheta_0) = 0 \ , \tag{4.3}$$

give the function $\alpha = \alpha(\omega)$ which predicts the leading order location of the homoclinic orbit in the external parameter space. Here $\pm 2\vartheta_0$ are the asymptotic phases (3.18), and the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is given in (2.35),

$$\mathcal{H}(j_1, j_2, \theta_1) = \frac{1}{4}(j_1 + j_2)^2 + 2\alpha\omega^2\theta_1 + 2\sqrt{2}\beta\omega \sin\theta_1 \ .$$

(4.3) leads to

$$\cos\gamma_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha\omega\vartheta_0}{\beta \sin 2\vartheta_0} \ . \tag{4.4}$$

Combining (4.4) with $M = 0$, one gets

$$\alpha = \alpha(\omega) = M_1 \left[M_2 - \frac{4\omega^2\vartheta_0}{\sin 2\vartheta_0} M_3 \right]^{-1} \ , \tag{4.5}$$

which has the same graph as in Figure 4.1. Thus the Melnikov function above is equivalent to that in [10].

5 Conclusion and Discussion

The instability of the singularly perturbed vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations (1.3)-(1.4) happens along the diagonal direction ($p = q$), which leads to the fact that the homoclinic orbit in the invariant diagonal subspace ($p = q$) is the only one for (1.3)-(1.4). Existence of a homoclinic orbit in the invariant diagonal subspace ($p = q$) governed by the singularly perturbed scalar nonlinear Schrödinger equation (4.1) has been established in [10].

If one releases the even constraint $p(t, -x) = p(t, x)$ and $q(t, -x) = q(t, x)$, the homoclinic orbit is given by (3.17) in which the spatial variable x appears in the combination:

$$x + \vartheta + \vartheta_0 - \frac{\pi}{2} ,$$

where ϑ is the Bäcklund phase-parameter. (Even constraint is realized by setting $\vartheta + \vartheta_0 - \frac{\pi}{2} = 0$ or π.) ϑ characterizes the x-location of the top of the hump $h(t, x)$ for any t. It is clear that the Melnikov integrals evaluated along $h(t, x)$ are independent of ϑ. In fact, since the PVNLS (1.3,1.4) is translationally invariant in x, the persistent homoclinic orbit is not isolated, if it exists. In the current study, the difficulty associated with noneveness, that we are facing, is that we need two Melnikov integrals due to the extra phase-parameter ϑ. Using the real and imaginary parts of the constant of motion F_1 (see (3.22) in [10]), we can build two Melnikov integrals. Unfortunately, we found numerically that the second Melnikov integral built with the imaginary part of F_1 is identically zero. We do not know whether or not one can build two non-identically-zero Melnikov integrals. If not, second or higher order Melnikov integral might be needed.

The matter is much more important than just a technical difficulty. In this noneven case, Ablowitz et al. [2] [1] found a novel scenario in which chaos occurs, that is, chaotic flipping between left and right travelling waves. This scenario is generic with respect to perturbations [2] [1]. When even constraint is not imposed, this new scenario of chaotic dynamics is observed in experiments [1]. With the difficulty mentioned in the last paragraph and the new results of Ablowitz et al. [2] [1], understanding the chaotic dynamics in the noneven case becomes a much more challenging and significant problem.

Acknowledgement: The author is greatly indebted to Professor Mark Ablowitz and Professor Jianke Yang for fruitful discussions which led to the current study.

Figure Captions:
Figure 2.1: An illustration of the equilibrium manifold $\mathcal{E}$.
Figure 2.2: The stable and unstable manifolds of l_u.
Figure 2.3: The center manifold of l_u.
Figure 2.4: The center manifold of l_c.
Figure 2.5: Stable and unstable manifolds in Π_Δ ($\Delta \neq 0$).
Figure 2.6: The center-stable and center-unstable manifolds of l_u.
Figure 2.7: Persistent center-stable and center-unstable manifolds.
Figure 4.1: The graph of $\alpha = \alpha(\omega)$.

References

[1] M. J. Ablowitz, J. Hammack, D. Henderson, and C. Schober. Modulated Periodic Stokes Waves in Deep Water. *Physical Review Letters*, 84, no.5:887–890, 2000.

[2] M. J. Ablowitz, B. Herbst, and C. Schober. Computational Chaos in the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation Without Homoclinic Crossings. *Physica A*, 228:212–235, 1996.

[3] M. J. Ablowitz, Y. Ohta, and A. D. Trubatch. On Discretizations of the Vector Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation. *Phys. Lett. A*, 253, no.5-6:287–304, 1999.

[4] M. J. Ablowitz, Y. Ohta, and A. D. Trubatch. On Integrability and Chaos in Discrete Systems. *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 11, 1-3:159–169, 2000.

[5] M. G. Forest, D. W. McLaughlin, D. J. Muraki, and O. C. Wright. Nonfocusing Instabilities in Coupled, Integrable Nonlinear Schrödinger pdes. *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, 10, no.3:291–331, 2000.

[6] M. G. Forest, S. P. Sheu, and O. C. Wright. On the Construction of Orbits Homoclinic to Plane Waves in Integrable Coupled Nonlinear Schrödinger System. *Phys. Lett. A*, 266, no.1:24–33, 2000.

[7] A. Hasegawa and Y. Kodama. *Solitons in Optical Communications.* Academic Press, San Diego, 1995.

[8] M. N. Islam. *Ultrafast Fiber Switching Devices and Systems.* Cambridge University Press, New York, 1992.

[9] Y. Li. Bäcklund-Darboux Transformations and Melnikov Analysis for Davey-Stewartson II Equations. *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences*, 10, no.1:103–131, 2000.

[10] Y. Li. Persistent Homoclinic Orbits for Nonlinear Schödinger Equation Under Singular Perturbation. *Submitted*, 2001.

[11] Y. Li, D. McLaughlin, J. Shatah, and S. Wiggins. Persistent Homoclinic Orbits for a Perturbed Nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, XLIX:1175–1255, 1996.

[12] Y. Li and D. W. McLaughlin. Morse and Melnikov Functions for NLS Pde's. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 162:175–214, 1994.

[13] S. V. Manakov. On the Theory of Two-Dimensional Stationary Self-Focusing of Electromagnetic Waves. *Soviet Physics JETP*, 38, no.2:248–253, 1974.

[14] C. R. Menyuk. Nonlinear Pulse Propagation in Birefringent Optical Fibers. *IEEE J. Quantum Electron*, 23, no.2:174–176, 1987.

[15] C. R. Menyuk. Pulse Propagation in an Elliptically Birefringent Kerr Medium. *IEEE J. Quantum Electron*, 25, no.12:2674–2682, 1989.

[16] O. C. Wright and M. G. Forest. On the Bäcklund-Gauge Transformation and Homoclinic Orbits of a Coupled Nonlinear Schrödinger System. *Phys. D*, 141, no.1-2:104–116, 2000.

[17] J. Yang and Y. Tan. Fractal Dependence of Vector-Soliton Collisions in Birefringent Fibers. *Phys. Lett. A*, 280:129–138, 2001.